

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/546.089	04/10/2000	David S. Wehrle	00AB078	2586
75	90 03/07/2003			
John J Horn Allen Bradley Company Inc Patent Dept 704 P Floor 8 T 29			EXAMINER	
			PERVEEN, REHANA	
1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2182	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/546,089 WEHRLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rehana Perveen	2182			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SH THE - Ext - If th - If N - Fail - Any earn	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status `	Decreasing to accomplish () (i) to accomplish					
1)⊠						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)∐ Disposi	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims	ance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.			
· _	Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are pending in the application					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>4,6,7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>5 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	tion Papers	1				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2000 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	θ(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	D All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control o	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	·				
_ 6	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been r	eceived.			
Attachmer		o priority unider 33 U.S.C. 99 1	ZU aliu/UL IZ I.			
1) 🔲 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

 Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 4-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371[©] of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. Claims 4, 7, 9, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zegelin, Patent No. 6,484,216.
- As to claim 4, Zegelin teaches a physical media for providing communications to at least one I/O module, the physical media includes a first protocol and a second protocol, the first protocol to enable the at least one I/O module to receive the network communications, and the second protocol to provide the network communications to the at least one enabled I/O module (col. 4 line 18 col. 5 line 32 and col. 7 lines 18-32).

- 6. As to claim 7, Zegelin teaches an interface for providing a pass thru for the network communications (interface adapter 16, figure 1, col. 4 lines 18-30).
- 7. As to claim 9, Zegelin teaches an adapter for establishing network communications (interface adapter 16, figure 1, col. 4 lines 18-30).
- 8. As to claim 10, Zegelin teaches the adapter includes at least one processor for enabling the at least one I/O module (col. 4 lines 18-30).
- 9. As to claim 12, Zegelin teaches at least one I/O module including a processor for receiving a first protocol as an input and providing the first protocol as an output (col. 4 line 18 col. 5 line 32 and col. 7 lines 18-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/546,089 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zegelin, Patent No. 6,484,216.
- 12. As to claim 6, Zegelin does not expressly teach the second protocol being one of DeviceNet, EtherNet, or ControlNet. Official notice is taken that the second protocol being one of a specific type such as DeviceNet, EtherNet, or ControlNet has been quite well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, thus rendering it obvious to utilize such protocols, since Zegelin allows the use of different protocols in general.
- 13. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zegelin, Patent No. 6,484,216, in view of Burke et al, patent no. 6,052,382.

- 14. Burke et al were cited as prior art in the previous office action.
- 15. As to claim 11, it is noted that Zegelin does not expressly teach the adapter including an Offlink Connection Manager object, a node list, and an I/O data table. Burke et al teach the adapter includes an Offlink Connection Manager object, a node list, and an I/O data table (Figure 1 and col. 5 lines 7-40).
- 16. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Zegelin and Burke et al because both are commonly directed to utilizing communications interface adapter, and Burke et al's adapter having an Offlink Connection Manager object, a node list, and an I/O data table, when incorporated into Zegelin's adapter, would have enabled handling of additional peripherals in the system and communications between them utilizing the Offlink Connection Manager object, the node list, and the I/O data table in the peripheral adapter.

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

18. Further reference of interest is cited on Form PTO-892 which is an attachment to this office action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rehana Perveen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-8476. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Rehana Perveen March 4, 2003